

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/932,977	PAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M Kinhead	2817	

All Participants:

(1) Arnold M Kinhead.

(2) Mr. James Remenick.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all independent claims 1,9,18,29, and 35; claims 36-38 canceled. New claim 39 added.

Prior art documents discussed:


US 5,631,489

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The independent claims have been amended to further characterize applicants invention with regards the reference to Roser, figures 4 and 5 and cols. 9 and 10 and abstract.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)